

# Final Exam

## Inverse problems and high dimension Convexity & Sparsity

March 27th 2023  
2pm to 4pm

This subject has two exercises. Non-master students will be evaluated on all the exercise 1 and the four first questions of exercise 2. The exercises are independent. Master students will be evaluated on all the subject. Questions with a coffee cup ☕ are bonus questions and would be granted extra-points in case of good answers.

This subject has 3 pages and you have two hours. **You are kindly asked to write your answers on two separate sheets, one for exercise 1 and one for exercise 2** in french or in english.

### Exercise 1

The goal of this exercise is to investigate recovery guarantees for OMP based on the restricted isometry property. In the following,  $\mathbf{A}$  is a matrix of size  $m \times n$  where  $m < n$ ,  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$  denotes the set of all integers between 1 and  $n$ ,  $S \subseteq \llbracket n \rrbracket$  is a set of indices,  $s = |S|$ , and  $T$  is its complement in  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$ . The pseudo-inverse is denoted  $(\cdot)^\dagger$ . We remind that the following are equivalent:

- every vector  $\mathbf{x}$  with support included in the set  $S$  (i.e.,  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq S$ ) is recovered by OMP with  $s$  steps performed on  $\mathbf{y} := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ .
- $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the *exact recovery property* (ERC) with respect to the set  $S$ ,

$$\max_{j \notin S} \|(\mathbf{A}_S)^\dagger \mathbf{a}_j\|_1 < 1. \quad (1)$$

We also recall that the restricted isometry constant of order  $k$  of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , denoted  $\delta_k = \delta_k(\mathbf{A})$ , is the smallest real number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(1 - \delta)\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}x\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta)\|x\|_2^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } \|x\|_0 \leq k.$$

1. Assume that  $\delta_s(\mathbf{A}) < 1$ .

(a) Show that for any vector  $v$  such that  $\text{supp}(v) \subseteq S$  we have

$$(1 - \delta_s)\|v\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}_S^\top \mathbf{A}_S v\|_2 \leq (1 + \delta_s)\|v\|_2.$$

(b) Deduce that for any vector  $u$  we have

$$\frac{1}{1 + \delta_s}\|u_S\|_2 \leq \|(\mathbf{A}_S^\top \mathbf{A}_S)^{-1} u_S\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_s}\|u_S\|_2$$

2. Consider two sets such that  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$  and  $\delta_{s_1+s_2}(\mathbf{A}) < 1$  where  $s_i := |S_i|$ .

(a) Show that if  $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq S_1$ ,  $\text{supp}(v) \subseteq S_2$  then

$$|\langle \mathbf{A}_{S_1} u_{S_1}, \mathbf{A}_{S_2} v_{S_2} \rangle| \leq \delta_{s_1+s_2} \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2.$$

(b) Show that if  $\text{supp}(v) \subseteq S_2$ , then

$$\|\mathbf{A}_{S_1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{S_2} v_{S_2}\|_2 \leq \delta_{s_1+s_2} \|v\|_2.$$

3. Assume that  $\delta_{s+1} < 1$

(a) Consider  $j \in T$ . Show that

$$\|(\mathbf{A}_S)^\dagger a_j\|_2 \leq \frac{\delta_{s+1}}{1 - \delta_s}$$

(b) Explain why this implies that

$$\|(\mathbf{A}_S)^\dagger a_j\|_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{s} \delta_{s+1}}{1 - \delta_{s+1}}$$

4. Express a sufficient condition, based on  $\delta_{s+1}$ , to ensure exact recovery of  $s$ -sparse vectors with  $s$  steps of OMP. The condition should read

$$\delta_{s+1}(\mathbf{A}) < c_s$$

with an appropriate choice of  $c_s$ .

5. (🍷 Bonus) Can the condition be tightened ?

## Exercise 2

In the following,  $\mathbf{A}$  is a matrix of size  $m \times n$  where  $m < n$ ,  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$  denotes the set of all integers between 1 and  $n$ . For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}$  for some  $\mathbf{e}$  satisfying  $\|\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{e}\|_\infty \leq \eta$  for some  $\eta > 0$ , where  $\mathbf{A}^\top$  denotes the transpose matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^*$  be a minimizer of the *Dantzig selector* defined as

$$\min_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{z}\|_1 \text{ subject to } \|\mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z})\|_\infty \leq \eta. \quad (2)$$

1. Show that a minimizer  $\mathbf{x}^*$  of Program (2) always exists.

The goal of this exercise is to prove that the Dantzig selector (2) satisfies robustness and stability under the  $\ell_1$ -robust null space property (RNSP), namely it holds

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_1 \leq C \sigma_s(\mathbf{x})_1 + D s \eta, \quad (3)$$

where  $C, D > 0$  are constants depending on the RNSP constants  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  (see below),  $s \geq 1$ , and

$$\sigma_s(\mathbf{x})_1 = \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_1 \text{ subject to } \|\mathbf{z}\|_0 \leq s,$$

is the best  $s$ -term approximation error of  $\mathbf{x}$  for the  $\ell_1$ -norm.

2. Prove that there exists  $S \subseteq \llbracket n \rrbracket$ ,  $s = |S|$ , such that  $\sigma_s(\mathbf{x})_1 = \|\mathbf{x}_T\|_1$ , where  $T$  is the complement of  $S$  in  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$ . Is  $S$  unique?

We recall that the  $\ell_1$ -robust null space property (RNSP) of order  $s$  with constant  $0 < \rho < 1$  and  $\tau > 0$  is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \rho \|\mathbf{v}_T\|_1 + \tau \sqrt{s} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\|_2 \quad (4)$$

for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $S \subseteq \llbracket n \rrbracket$  set of indices,  $s = |S|$ , and  $T$  is its complement in  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$ . Consider  $\mathbf{h} := \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}$ .

3. Prove that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y})\|_\infty \|\mathbf{h}\|_1,$$

and deduce that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 \leq 2\eta \|\mathbf{h}\|_1. \quad (5)$$

4. Show that  $\|\mathbf{x}^*\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ , deduce that

$$\|\mathbf{x}_T^*\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{x}_T\|_1 + \|\mathbf{h}_S\|_1,$$

and that

$$\|\mathbf{h}_T\|_1 \leq 2\|\mathbf{x}_T\|_1 + \|\mathbf{h}_S\|_1. \quad (6)$$

## MASTER students only

5. From (5) and (6) prove that for all  $t > 2\eta$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 + (t - 2\eta)\|\mathbf{h}_T\|_1 \leq (t + 2\eta)\|\mathbf{h}_S\|_1 + 2t\|\mathbf{x}_T\|_1. \quad (7)$$

and deduce that

$$\gamma_t\|\mathbf{h}\|_1 \leq -\frac{1}{t + 2\eta}\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 + (1 + \gamma_t)\|\mathbf{h}_S\|_1 + \frac{2t}{t + 2\eta}\|\mathbf{x}_T\|_1, \quad (8)$$

where  $\gamma_t := \frac{t-2\eta}{t+2\eta}$ .

6. For  $0 < \rho < 1$  defined in (4), prove that there exists  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$  such that

$$\rho = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}.$$

7. Prove that there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\alpha\gamma_t - (1 - \alpha) > 0$ .

We consider that  $t$  satisfies this latter condition in the following questions.

8. Using (4) and (8), deduce that

$$(\alpha\gamma_t - (1 - \alpha))\|\mathbf{h}\|_1 \leq -\frac{1}{t + 2\eta}X^2 + \alpha(1 + \gamma_t)\tau\sqrt{s}X + \frac{2t}{t + 2\eta}\|\mathbf{x}_T\|_1. \quad (9)$$

where  $X := \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}\|_2$ .

9. Using (9), prove (3).